

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/727,282	DICESARE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth Quan	1743	

All Participants:

(1) Elizabeth Quan.

(2) Scott J. Rittman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12/2003 & 2/2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(f)

Claims discussed:

17-46

Prior art documents discussed:

'528 to Bodnar

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the declaration in regard to obviating the rejections of Bodnar has Henry F. Miller stating that he is an inventor of the instant application although he is not listed as an inventor of the instant application and appropriate correction must be made to place this application in condition for allowance. Attorney has not responded, and therefore, an office action will be mailed.